Land-Based Evaluations of the Siemens Water Technologies SiCURETM Ballast Water Management System



Maritime Environmental Resource Center

September 2010

c/o Chesapeake Biological Laboratory University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science PO Box 38, Solomons, Maryland 20688, USA

Ref. No. [UMCES]CBL 10-038

Notice

The objective of this Maritime Environmental Resource Center (MERC) evaluation was to provide shipping lines, classification societies, regulators, and flag states with an independent and credible assessment of treatment performance under realistic conditions. Therefore, the ballast water treatment system was tested in accordance with the International Maritime Organization (IMO) International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediment (2004), Resolution MEPC.174(58) *Guidelines for Approval of Ballast Water Management Systems (G8)* and Resolution MEPC.169(57) *Procedure for Approval of Ballast Water Management Systems That Make Use of Active Substances (G9)*. The evaluation was conducted under specific, predetermined, agreed-upon protocols, criteria, and quality assurance procedures to assess the treatment system's performance.

MERC does not label or list technologies as acceptable or unacceptable but will present the results in an objective way that can be used to determine regulatory compliance by appropriate administrations, agencies or certification societies. Subsequent data on the technology's performance characteristics is presented to allow for comparison with the IMO Convention discharge standards, Regulation D-2, *Ballast Water Performance Standard*.

MERC and the MERC Advisory Board do not provide certification for technologies, or certify that a technology will always operate as demonstrated. Additionally, no expressed or implied guarantee is provided as to the performance of the technology, or that a technology will always operate at the levels verified. MERC does guarantee the levels verified during the evaluation under the conditions, circumstances, and operations encountered as fully independent and credible.

This report has been reviewed by members of the MERC Advisory Board and provided to Siemens and MERC funding agencies prior to public release. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation by MERC.

Questions and comments should be directed to Dr. Mario Tamburri, tamburri@umces.edu.

Table of Contents

Page No.

1.0.	MER	C Background and Objectives	1
2.0.	Desc	ription of the Siemens Ballast Water Management System	1
3.0.	Sum	mary of IMO Standards	2
4.0.	Sum	mary of Test Protocols	2
5.0.	Sum	mary of Results	4
6.0	Resu	lts	6
	6.1.	Trial 1 (SIE-03)	6
	6.2.	Trial 2 (SIE-05)	9
	6.3	Trial 3 (SIE-06)	11
	6.4.	Trial 4 (SIE-07)	14
	6.5.	Trial 5 (SIE-08)	17
	6.6.	Twenty-four Hour Dechlorination Trial	19
7.	Ackr	nowledgements	23

Appendix A. V	Vendor Interpretation	24
---------------	-----------------------	----

1.0 MERC Background and Objectives

The Maritime Environmental Resource Center (MERC) is a State of Maryland initiative that provides test facilities, information, and decision tools to address key environmental issues facing the international maritime industry. The Center's primary focus is to evaluate the mechanical and biological efficacy, associated costs, and logistical aspects of ballast water treatment systems and the economic impacts of ballast water regulations and management approaches. A full description of MERC's structure, products, and services can be found at www.maritime-enviro.org.

To address the need for effective, safe, and reliable ballast water treatment systems to prevent the introduction of non-native species, MERC has developed as a partnership between the Maryland Port Administration (MPA), Chesapeake Biological Laboratory/ University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science (CBL/UMCES), U.S. Maritime Administration (MARAD), Smithsonian Environmental Research Center (SERC), and University of Maryland (UMD) to provide independent performance testing and to help facilitate the transition of new treatment technologies to shipboard implementation and operations.

This report describes the MERC evaluation of the Siemens SiCURETM Ballast Water Management System through objective and quality assured land-based testing (dockside at a flow rate of 200m³/hr). The goal of this evaluation was to provide shipping lines, regulators, classification societies, and flag states with an independent and credible assessment of treatment performance under realistic conditions. Therefore, the data and information on performance characteristics covers legitimate information to meet the evaluation's objective, and performance is presented in a way to allow for comparison against the International Maritime Organization (IMO) International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (2004), Regulation D-2 *Ballast Water Performance Standard*.

2.0 Description of the Siemens Ballast Water Management System

The Siemens Water Technologies SiCURETM Ballast Water Management System (BWMS) utilizes a combination of treatment methods including physical separation and a proprietary, on-demand treatment with biocides produced in-situ from seawater without the addition of chemicals. This proprietary technology is based on the maritime industry-proven Chloropac® biofouling control technology that was first developed in the early 1970s and installed on over 2,500 vessels.

The SiCURETM system employs electrolysis of seawater to produce a dilute solution of sodium hypochlorite as an Active Substance that is injected into the ballast piping. The proprietary controls regulate the system's parameters to provide only as much Active Substance as required to achieve the necessary level of disinfection. This approach is aimed at eliminating over-chlorination, associated risks of corrosion, and generation of disinfection by-products.

The specific SiCURETM unit tested as part of this MERC land-based evaluation was a prototype designed to land-based evaluation conditions with shipboard implementation considerations. For this land based test, the water is first filtered through a 40 micron BallastSafeTM BSFc Automatic Electric Filter, Model BSFc-H-1.6 prior to treatment. The sintered stainless steel screen technology enables it to remove zooplankton. BallastSafe's filter features continuous cleaning of large volumes of dirt during ballasting without interruption, and a reversible screw system for cleaning of the entire screen surface. This system requires the

discharge/backflush of accumulated solid residue (or retentate).

To account for brackish water conditions, the addition of a brine (sodium chloride) injection system was included for proper operation during periods of relatively low salinity. The sodium hypochlorite solution was produced from a small side stream of ballast water from the main ballast piping. An advanced electrolyzer treated the side stream to create the required Active Substance concentration on-demand. The sodium hypochlorite/Active Substance solution was then injected both before and after the filtration unit. The pre filltration injection accounted for 10% or less of the total treatment dose for the purpose of filter biofouling prevention. An injection quill specifically designed for this application was implemented for the primary dose after the filter unit. The SiCURETM system doses at a maximum of 6 mg/l total chlorine. Upon discharge of treated water, total chlorine levels are monitored and if the levels are greater than the discharge limit of 0.1 ppm, an automated system injects sodium sulfite as neutralizer.

Because the treatment system was a prototype, it was operated at all times by members of the Siemens staff. All evaluation test equipment and instrumentation was operated by MERC personnel.

3.0 Summary of IMO Standards

This evaluation was designed to determine if the SiCURETM treatment system could meet IMO D2 standards in accordance with both the IMO *Guidelines for Approval of Ballast Water Management Systems (G8)* and the *Procedure for Approval of Ballast Water Management Systems that make use of Active Substances (G9)*. The IMO Convention performance standard states that ships must discharge:

1) Less than 10 viable organisms per m^3 , greater than or equal to 50 μ m in minimum dimension;

2) Less than 10 viable organisms per ml, less than 50 μ m in minimum dimension and greater than or equal to 10 μ m in minimum dimension and

3) Less than the following concentrations of indicator microbes, as a human health standard:

1. Toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* (serogroups O1 and O139), less than 1 colony forming unit (cfu) per 100 ml

2. Escherichia coli, less than 250 cfu per 100 ml;

3. Intestinal *Enterococci*, less than 100 cfu per 100 ml.

4.0 Summary of Test Protocols

The following is a brief summary of the testing approach and methods. For complete details on protocols, data management, and quality control / quality assurance procedures for this MERC evaluation, please refer to the *Test Plan for the Performance Evaluation of the Siemens Ballast Water Management System* (August 2009), available for download at www.maritime-enviro.org.

The protocols described below are based upon the IMO G8/G9 guidelines and the U.S. Coast Guard supported ETV protocols under development. Any deviation from IMO G8/G9 guidelines or draft ETV protocols were explained and justified in the Test Plan.

MERC evaluated the biological efficacy of the SiCURETM ballast water treatment system onboard the U.S. Maritime Administration (MARAD) Ro-Ro vessel *MV Cape Washington* while docked in the Port of Baltimore. The ballast system on *MV Cape Washington* was modified to

allow for water at a flow rate of 400m³/hr to be split equally at flow rates of 200 m³/hr. Just before this split, challenge condition concentrations of total suspended solids (TSS) and particulate carbon (POC) were augmented by injecting a concentrated slurry of Arizona test dust and humic acid (developed and validated by the Naval Research Laboratory, Key West, Florida). The water was then delivered simultaneously to either a "control" (untreated) ballast tank or a "treated" (passing first through the SiCURETM system) ballast tank. These two tanks were used for the required holding time of five days and were essentially identical in size and structure. Each tank was filled to approximately 250 m³ for each test trial.

Physical Parameters - Temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll fluorescence, turbidity and pH were measured every 15 minutes during the test trials by two identical multiparameter probes placed, one each, into the control and test tanks. Initial inline samples of ballast water during the filling of the control and test tanks were collected, filtered, and analyzed (using USEPA methods) for the water quality parameters of particulate organic carbon (POC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and total suspended solids (TSS) by the CBL/UMCES Nutrient Analytical Services Laboratory (NASL).

Sampling - A total of 10 identical 1.1 m³ conical bottom mesocosms were also used for controlled sampling during each trial. Using the mesocosms, five sequential samples were taken during: (A) initial filling of tanks, just prior to the split of control and treated water (<u>T0 Control</u>), (B) initial filling of test tank, just downstream of the SiCURETM system during filling of test tank (<u>T0 Treated</u>), (C) during discharge of control water after a five-day holding time (<u>TF Control</u>), and (D) during discharge of treated water after a five-day holding time (<u>TF Treated</u>).

Live Organisms > 50 μm - Immediately after filling, 1.0 m³ of water in each mesocosm was filtered through a 35 μm plankton net to concentrate the zooplankton for qualitative and quantitative analyses under a dissecting microscope. The proportion and total concentration of live versus dead organisms was determined using standard movement and response-to-stimuli techniques within one hour of collecting the individual samples. Zooplankton samples were also fixed and returned to the laboratory for additional taxonomic evaluations.

Live Organisms 10 - 50 \mum - Fifteen to twenty liters of well-mixed, but unfiltered water from each mesocosm were also collected immediately after filling, to determine concentrations of organisms in the 10 to 50 micron size class using three distinct methods: (A) A sub-sample was stained using a combination of CMFDA (5-chloromethylfluorescein diacetate) and FDA (fluorescein diacetate) as selective live/viable indicators. Stained sub-samples were incubated and observed on a Sedgewick Rafter slide using a Leitz Laborlux S modified for epifluorescence. (B) A second sub-sample was fixed with standard Lugol's solution for use in taxonomic identifications and to serve as a backup sample. (C) A third sub-sample was filtered and frozen until analysis of total and active chlorophyll-a by the NASL. (D) Finally, a forth sub-sample was used to determine chlorophyll-a levels after allowed to regrow under favorable conditions. An increase in chlorophyll, or positive regrowth, indicates that viable phytoplankton were in the samples, whereas chlorophyll levels at or below detection limits of the laboratory analytical method suggests that there was no viable phytoplankton.

Live Microbes - Additional subsamples of unfiltered water were also collected from each mesocosm to determine concentrations of total heterotrophic bacteria and three specific indicator pathogens, *E. coli*, intestinal *Enterococci*, and toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae*. Total heterotrophic bacteria were enumerated by spread plate method using NWRI agar. The presence and abundance of intestinal *Enterococci* was determined using a commercially available chromogenic substrate method. Culturable *E. coli* concentrations were determined using a

standard USEPA method: membrane filtration on modified mTEC agar. Abundances of total and toxigenic *V. cholerae* were calculated by filtration and selection on TCBS agar and enumerated using a species-specific RNA colony blot and *ctxA* DNA colony blot hybridization. Viable toxigenic *V. cholerae* was assayed with a commercial DFA kit specific for serogroup O1 using monoclonal antibodies tagged with fluorescein isothiocyanate.

Toxicity - To evaluate the toxicity of treated water at the completion of each trial, samples from each mesocosm were collected and tested for chronic toxicity and for total residual chlorine. Filter "backflush" (retenate/filtrate during initial treatment of water) was also tested for total residual chlorine. The toxicity protocols and species used were consistent with the USEPA methods for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET). The algal species tested was *Isochrysis galbana*, the fish species was the Sheepshead minnow *(Cyprinodon variegatus)* while the invertebrate species was the Mysid shrimp *(Americamysis bahia)*.

Chlorine concentration in samples was analyzed immediately upon collection to avoid potential loss of oxidant with time. The *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Low-Level Amperometric Titration* method 4500-Cl D and DPD Colorimetric method 4500-Cl G were used to measure Total Residual Oxidants (TRO). A Fischer and Porter amperometric titrator was also used for amperometric measurements.

To assess disinfection by-products, a nine-liter carboy of water was drawn at the following 4 time-points: T-0 Control inflow, T-0 Treated inflow, T-0 backflush discharge and T-F Treated discharge. The carboy of water was immediately sampled for analyses of the following compounds: Trihalomethanes (TTHM), Haloacetic Acids (HAA5), Tribromoacetic Acid (TBAA), Bromate (Br), Chlorate (ClO3), Sodium (Na, as a metal), and various Nitriles (-CN group – triple bond). Samples were iced and delivered same-day via courier to the Analytical Laboratory Services, Inc. (ALSI) for analysis.

5.0 Summary of Results

Live Organisms - For essentially all biological categories, the Siemens SiCURETM BWMS reduced the numbers of live organisms in ballast water to levels below IMO D2 discharge standards. The only anomaly was found in one trial where *Enterococci* abundances increased slightly in both treated and control water during the 5-day hold time, with a TF value just over (107.4 ± 33.5) the IMO D2 discharge standard of less than 100 cfu/100 ml. However, it is important to note the relatively large standard deviation, that the most probably number (MPN) method used (Idexx Enterolert kit) to quantify *Enterococci* commonly over estimate abundance when compared to the a traditional membrane filtration method (G. Ditcher, personal communication), and in all other test trials (over two different years) *Enterococci* appeared to be successfully treated by the SiCURETM system.

Chronic Toxicity - Toxicity testing was conducted on five treated discharge samples between 4/27/10 and 6/15/10. Results indicate that treated ballast water discharge (TF) was not chronically toxic to mysid shrimp or Sheepshead Minnows. However the treated water upon discharge did significantly inhibit the growth of the algae species, *Isochrysis galbana* (T-Iso.) for three of the test trials (Trial 1 22-27 April, Trial 2 13-18 May, and Trial 5 3-8 June), which is reported as "toxic" for this algal assay. These samples had LOECs of 100% and NOECs of 56%. All three algae tests with a toxicity response in the undiluted sample (100%) had similar EC50s of 70%, 71% and 78% for SIE-03, -05 and -08, respectively.

Residual Chlorine Analysis - Levels of residual chlorine (or Total Residual Oxidants - TRO) were below the permissible discharge limit of 0.10 ppm in all TF treated samples. Values ranged from 0.06 ppm to below the method detection limit (MDL) of 0.02 ppm. A summary table from the completed trial runs and the 24-hour dechlorination run is provided below. TRO was immediately measured shipboard using the DPD cholorimetric analysis method.

Note that Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) is not directly measured using the DPD cholorimetric analysis method. The analysis does not distinguish between various oxidants (chlorine, bromine, ozone, etc). It reports TRO in chlorine equivalents (in other words, as if all oxidant measured were chlorine). In freshwater, after introducing chlorine, we would be comfortable calling the residual TRC. However, it is likely that in saltwater, while the majority are chlorines, some portion may well be bromines. Thus, we only report TRO.

Report ID	Run ID	Date	TRO Treated Tank	TRO Control Tank	Comment
Trial 1	SIE-03-10	4/27	0.06 ppm		
Trial 2	SIE-05-10	5/18	0.08 ppm	0.01 ppm	
Trial 3	SIE-06-10	5/25	0.09 ppm	0.03 ppm	
Trial 4	SIE-07-10	6/1	ND	ND	
Trial 5	SIE-08-10	6/8	0.06 ppm	0.04 ppm	
24-Hour					
Trial	SIE-09-10	6/10	0.59 ppm	0.06 ppm	Initial
			0.20 ppm		Thiosulfate
			0.21 ppm		Thiosulfate
			0.22 ppm		Thiosulfate
			0.04 ppm		Sulfite
			0.04 ppm		Sulfite
			0.02 ppm		Sulfite
			0.03 ppm		Sulfite
			0.06 ppm		Sulfite
			0.03 ppm		Sulfite

TRO as measured in the control and treated tanks.

Notes from dechlorination run.

1. Thiosulfate treatment did not result in adequate dechlorination at point of discharge.

2. Measured TRO levels in sodium sulfite treated samples were consistently below measured free (active) chlorine levels, suggesting interference of sodium sulfite with DPD cholorimetric analysis.

Disinfection By-Products - A summaries of the results for DBP analyses for each trial are in the results section below. Reporting detection limits are provided.

Twenty four-hour dechlorintation trial - On June 9-10, 2010, MERC conducted one additional trial that required the use of the Siemens dechlorination system. While TF water in all 5-day hold time trials had total chlorine levels below 0.1 ppm, a short 24-hour hold time resulted in measurable levels of chlorine and the subsequent automate dechlorination of the treated water upon discharge using Sodium Sulfite (Na₂SO₃,). For this added trial, total chlorine levels in discharged treated water declined in from 0.59 ppm prior to dechlorination, to below 0.1 ppm

after the addition sodium bisulfate. Note an attempt at using sodium thiosulfate on discharge was not effective, resulting in a final chlorine level of 0.21 ppm.

Mechanical Failures - SIE-10-02-T0 (15 April 2010) The filtration unit on the SiCURETM system failed prior to the first sampling mesocosm being completely filled. The unit clogged, causing a complete stoppage of flow. Trial SIE-10-02-T0 was therefore canceled. SIE-10-06-T0 (20 May 2010) The SiCURETM system experienced a malfunction during startup but prior to the filling of the treated tank and sampling mesocosms. Once the issue was resolved, testing was re-initiated. In all trials, 10-15 minutes of water flow through the system was provided before electrochlorination was initiated. This flow was diverted into the a separated ballast tanks and not into the treated test tank.

* Complete datasets and further performance information is available upon request.

6.0 Results

6.1. Trial 1 (SIE-03-10): 22-27 April 2010

Presented as means and standard deviations.

Physical Parameters

	TSS mg/l	DOC mg/l	PC mg/l
T0 Ambient	23.9 (3.7)	2.82 (0.01)	3.44 (0.03)
T0 Enhanced	51.3 (2.4)	NA	5.61 (0.61)

Enhanced data is the average of 3 time points (2 reps per time point) during the fill time of the ballast tanks. Ambient water is sampled at the beginning of the trial and before the water is enhanced.

	Temp °C	Salinity psu	Dis. Oxygen mg/l	Turbidity NTU	pН
T-0 Control	14.28 (0.03)	5.97 (0.00)	11.89 (0.03)	17.39 (1.10)	8.5 (0.1)
T-0 Treated	14.36 (0.02)	6.64 (0.00)	16.24 (0.09)	18.46 (1.21)	8.6 (0.1)
T-F Control	14.14 (0.01)	5.95 (0.01)	8.37 (0.02)	3.67 (0.19)	7.8 (0.1)
T-F Treated	14.18 (0.01)	6.61 (0.01)	10.93 (0.01)	6.56 (0.09)	8.0 (0.1)

<u>Live Organisms > 50 µm</u>

	T0 #/m ³	TF $\#/m^3$
Control	124,000 (5,000)	*223,667 (29,501)
Treated	4.5 (7)	5 (4.5)

*Note large increase between T0 and TF in Control tank (reproduction and hatching of eggs).

*TF Control	*TF Treated
Copepod nauplii	Copepoda
Calanoida (Acartia sp.)	Rotifera
Cirripedia nauplii	
Harpacticoida	

* Up to four top taxa listed in order of abundance.

Live Organisms 10 - 50 µm

Vital Stain Results - It is important to note that the specific approach used underestimates the abundances of live organisms in this size class because it has been found that not all live organisms reliably take up these stains.

	T0 #/ml	TF #/ml
Control	5,297 (776)	1,113 (225)
Treated	29 (19)	0 (0)

Dominant species	Туре	Other
Heterocapsa rotundatum	Dinoflagellate	Small but abundant
Gymnodinium sp.	Dinoflagellate	
Navicula sp.	Diatom	

Active Chlorophyll-a - Chlorophyll is used as ancillary data and as a general presence/absence indicator of viable photosynthetic organisms.

	T0 μg/l	TF μg/l	RG µg/l
Control	39.84 (11.77)	5.63 (0.50)	1.19 (0.13)
Treated	0.32 (0.13)	0.21 (0.02)	0.00 (0.01)
	the second MDI $= 0.5$ (\cdots	- /1	

RG = after re-growth assay; MDL = $0.56 \ \mu g/l$

Live Microbes

cfu = colony forming units

E. coli	T0 cfu/100ml	TF cfu/100ml
Control	3.4 (1.52)	0.6 (1.34)
Treated	0 (0)	0 (0)

Enterococci	T0 NPM/100ml	TF MPN/100ml
Control	3.92 (1.38)	9.06 (2.96)
Treated	1 (0.71)	1.2 (0.45)

MPN = most probably number estimate determined by the Idexx Enterolert kit.

V. cholerae – No detectable culturable toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* were detected in any samples during any of the trials.

Heterotrophic	T0 (sd)	TF (sd)
Bacteria	cfu/1ml	cfu/1ml
Control	1,518 (373.6)	808 (190.66)
Treated	2.2 (3.58)	29,120 (2,184.49)

Toxicity Summaries

Residual Chlorine Analysis - Levels of residual chlorine (or total residual oxidants - TRO) were below the permissible discharge limit of 0.10 ppm in all TF treated samples. Values ranged from 0.06 ppm to below the method detection limit (MDL) of 0.02 ppm.

Chronic Toxicity - Results showed that ballast water discharge was not chronically toxic to either mysids or sheepshead minnows. All samples, however, were chronically toxic to the marine algal species *Isochrysis galbana* with a statistically significant inhibition in growth in treated ballast discharge compared to controls.

	Control	Treated	BW	Treated	RDL/MRL
	T0	T0	T0	TF	
Trihalomethanes	0	91.2	ND	293	0.5
Trichloromethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	ND	< 0.5	0.5
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.5	2.7	ND	3.8	0.5
Dibromochloromethane	< 0.5	20.7	ND	28.2	0.5
Tribromomethane	< 0.5	67.8	ND	261	0.5
Haloacetic Acids	0	87.4	ND	197	1.0
Monochloroacetic acid	< 2.0	< 2.0	ND	< 2.0	2.0
Dichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	1.2	ND	< 1.0	1.0
Trichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	ND	< 1.0	1.0
Bromochloroacetic acid	< 1.0	5	ND	< 1.0	1.0
Monobromoacetic acid	< 1.0	4.5	ND	< 1.0	1.0
Dibromoacetic acid	< 1.0	72.2	ND	< 1.0	1.0
Tribromoacetic acid	< 1.0	4.5	ND	197	1.0
Other			ND		
Sodium chlorate	< 10	340	ND	340	10
Sodium bromate	< 50.0	< 50.0	ND	< 50.0	50.0
Monochloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	ND	< 0.5	
Dichloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	ND	< 0.5	0.5
Monobromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	ND	< 0.5	
Dibromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	18	ND	<0.5	0.5

Disinfection By-Products (µg/l)

BW = backwash; RDL = reporting detection limit; MRL = method reporting limit

6.2. Trial 2 (SIE-05-10): 13-18 May 2010

Presented as means and standard deviations.

Physical Parameters

_	TSS mg/l	DOC mg/l	POC mg/l
T0 Ambient	11.5 (1.0)	3.41 (0.13)	1.11 (0.03)
T0 Enhanced	65.2 (8.5)	NA	5.88 (0.79)

Enhanced data is the average of 3 time points (2 reps per time point) during the fill time of the ballast tanks. Ambient water is sampled at the beginning of the trial and before the water is enhanced.

	Temp °C	Salinity psu	Dis. Oxygen mg/l	Turbidity NTU	рН
T-0 Control	15.15 (0.01)	8.19 (0.02)	8.54 (0.06)	15.60 (0.87)	7.3 (0.1)
T-0 Treated	15.20 (0.01)	8.84 (0.01)	9.02 (0.02)	15.11 (1.24)	7.3 (0.1)
T-F Control	16.53 (0.06)	8.15 (0.00)	6.92 (0.06)	3.49 (0.23)	7.2 (0.2)
T-F Treated	16.52 (0.05)	8.76 (0.00)	8.74 (0.03)	5.27 (0.42)	7.1 (0.1)

<u>Live Organisms > 50 µm</u>

	T0 #/m ³	*TF #/m ³
Control	60,000 (10,500)	$90,500(10,000)^{t}$
Treated	4.2 (7)	0.2 (0.4)

*Note moderate increase between T0 and TF in Control tank (reproduction and hatching of eggs).

*TF Control	*TF Treated
Copepod nauplii	Polycheata (Spionidae)
Calanoida (Acartia sp.)	
Polychaeta (Spionidae)	
Harpacticoida	

* Up to four top taxa listed in order of abundance.

Live Organisms 10 - 50 µm

Vital Stain Results - It is important to note that the specific approach used underestimates the abundances of live organisms in this size class because it has been found that not all live organisms reliably take up these stains.

	T0 #/ml	TF #/ml
Control	1,915 (511)	3,182 (725)
Treatment	1.7 (1.2)	6 (3.8)

Dominant species	Туре	Other
Heterocapsa rotundatum	Dinoflagellate	
Gymnodinium sp.	Dinoflagellate	
Thalassiosira gravida	Diatom	chain-forming
Navicula sp.	Diatom	

Active Chlorophyll-a - Chlorophyll is used as ancillary data and as a general presence/absence indicator of viable photosynthetic organisms.

	T0 μg/l	TF μg/l	RG μg/l
Control	8.79 (0.48)	1.89 (0.06)	5.95 (3.11)
Treated	0.08 (0.01)	0.03 (0.01)	0.08 (0.09)

RG = after re-growth assay

 $MDL = 0.56 \ \mu g/l$

Live Microbes

cfu = colony forming units

E. coli	T0 cfu/100ml	TF cfu/100ml
Control	4.6 (1.82)	0 (0)
Treated	0 (0)	1 (1.41)

Enterococci	T0 MPN/100ml	TF MPN/100ml
Control	8.78 (21.2)	49.34 (26.54)
Treated	2.02 (1.45)	107.4 (33.5)

MPN = most probable number estimates determined by the Idexx Enterolert kit. Note that this MPN is not a direct cfu count and Enterolert MPN values are commonly found to be higher than the traditional membrane filtration method used to estimate *Enterococci* abundances (G. Ditcher, Idexx).

V. cholerae – No detectable culturable toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* were detected in any samples during any of the trials.

Heterotrophic		
Bacteria	T0 cfu/1ml	TF cfu/1ml
Control	146 (80)	503.33 (187.75)
Treated	0 (0)	10,520 (4,447.13)

Toxicity Summaries

Residual Chlorine Analysis - Levels of residual chlorine (or total residual oxidants - TRO) were below the permissible discharge limit of 0.10 ppm in all TF treated samples. Values ranged from 0.06 ppm to below the method detection limit (MDL) of 0.02 ppm.

Chronic Toxicity - Results showed that ballast water discharge was not chronically toxic to either mysids or sheepshead minnows. All samples, however, were chronically toxic to the marine algal species *Isochrysis galbana* with a statistically significant inhibition in growth in treated ballast discharge compared to controls.

	Control	Treated	BW	Treated	RDL/MRL
	Т0	TO	Т0	TF	
Trihalomethanes	0	106.6	ND	227.8	0.5
Trichloromethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	ND	< 0.5	0.5
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.5	1.6	ND	2.5	0.5
Dibromochloromethane	< 0.5	13.5	ND	24.3	0.5
Tribromomethane	< 0.5	91.5	ND	201	0.5
Haloacetic Acids	1.4	62.9	ND	385.6	1.0
Monochloroacetic acid	< 2.0	< 2.0	ND	< 2.0	2.0
Dichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	ND	< 1.0	1.0
Trichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	ND	< 1.0	1.0
Bromochloroacetic acid	< 1.0	3.3	ND	< 1.0	1.0
Monobromoacetic acid	< 1.0	3.1	ND	1	1.0
Dibromoacetic acid	< 1.0	53	ND	10.6	1.0
Tribromoacetic acid	1.4	3.5	ND	374	1.0
Other			ND		
Sodium chlorate	< 10	250	ND	250	10
Sodium bromate	< 50.0	< 50.0	ND	< 50.0	50.0
Monochloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	ND	< 0.5	
Dichloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	0.86	ND	< 0.5	0.5
Monobromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	ND	< 0.5	
Dibromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	24	ND	4.4	0.5

Disinfection By-Products (µg/l)

BW = backwash

RDL = reporting detection limit

MRL = method reporting limit

6.3. Trial 3 (SIE-06-10): 20-25 May 2010

Presented as means and standard deviations.

Physical Parameters

	TSS mg/l	DOC mg/l	POC mg/l
T0 Ambient	19.7 (3.6)	2.96 (0.00)	1.28 (0.01)
T0 Enhanced	56.2 (13.5)	NA	4.76 (0.92)

Enhanced data is the average of 3 time points (2 reps per time point) during the fill time of the ballast tanks. Ambient water is sampled at the beginning of the trial and before the water is enhanced.

	Temp °C	Salinity psu	Dis. Oxygen mg/l	Turbidity NTU	pН
T-0 Control	15.14 (0.02)	9.80 (0.02)	6.47 (0.39)	15.68 (1.30)	7.1 (0.1)
T-0 Treated	15.18 (0.00)	10.35 (0.00)	7.91 (0.01)	14.95 (1.01)	7.0 (0.1)
T-F Control	19.83 (0.01)	9.75 (0.00)	4.82 (0.02)	3.06 (0.10)	7.1 (0.1)
T-F Treated	19.97 (0.01)	10.37 (0.00)	7.55 (0.01)	6.61 (0.09)	7.0 (0.1)

<u>Live Organisms > 50 µm</u>

	T0 #/m ³	TF $\#/m^3$
Control	50,500 (10,000)	35,666 (2,350)
Treated	9 (7)	9 (3.5)

*TF Control	*TF Treated
Copepod nauplii	Bivalve larvae
Polychaeta (Spionidae)	Copepoda
Calanoida (Acartia sp, Eurytemora sp.)	Turbellaria
Harpacticoida	

* Up to four top taxa listed in order of abundance.

Live Organisms 10 - 50 µm

Vital Stain Results - It is important to note that the specific approach used underestimates the abundances of live organisms in this size class because it has been found that not all live organisms reliably take up these stains.

	T0 #/ml	TF #/ml
Control	1,088 (194)	137 (8)
Treatment	1.7 (1.2)	0 (0)

Dominant species	Туре	Other
Heterocapsa rotundatum	Dinoflagellate	
Gymnodinium sp.	Dinoflagellate	
Thalassiosira gravida	Diatom	chain-forming
Navicula sp.	Diatom	

Active Chlorophyll-a - Chlorophyll is used as ancillary data and as a general presence/absence indicator of viable photosynthetic organisms.

	T0 μg/l	TF μg/l	RG µg/l
Control	3.07 (0.09)	0.91 (0.02)	0.12 (0.09)
Treated	0.24 (0.17)	0.13 (0.02)	0.00 (0.00)
	0.24 (0.17)	0.13 (0.02)	0.00 (0.00)

RG = after re-growth assay; MDL = $0.56 \ \mu g/l$

Live Microbes

cfu = colony forming units

E. coli	T0 cfu/100ml	TF cfu/100ml
Control	0 (0)	2.2 (1.5)
Treated	0 (0)	0 (0)

Enterococci	T0 MPN/100ml	TF MPN/100ml
Control	16.64 (4.08)	70.42 (29.77)
Treated	5.8 (1.9)	34.68 (8.59)

MPN = most probably number estimate determined by the Idexx Enterolert kit.

V. cholerae – No detectable culturable toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* were detected in any samples during any of the trials.

Heterotrophic		
Bacteria	T0 cfu/1ml	TF cfu/1ml
Control	950 (300)	55 (31)
Treated	0 (0)	13,780 (2,560)

Toxicity Summaries

Residual Chlorine Analysis - Levels of residual chlorine (or total residual oxidants - TRO) were below the permissible discharge limit of 0.10 ppm in all TF treated samples. Values ranged from 0.06 ppm to below the method detection limit (MDL) of 0.02 ppm.

Chronic Toxicity - Results showed that ballast water discharge was not chronically toxic to any of the three tested species.

Disinfection By-Products (µg/l)

	Control	Treated	BW	Treated	RDL/MRL
	TO	T0	T0	TF	
Trihalomethanes	0	27	0.63	168.2	0.5
Trichloromethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.5	1.2	< 0.5	2.3	0.5
Dibromochloromethane	< 0.5	10.6	< 0.5	17.9	0.5
Tribromomethane	< 0.5	15.2	0.63	148	0.5
Haloacetic Acids	0	71.1	1.9	6.9	1.0
Monochloroacetic acid	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	2.0
Dichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Trichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.4	1.0
Bromochloroacetic acid	< 1.0	2.9	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Monobromoacetic acid	< 1.0	1.4	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Dibromoacetic acid	< 1.0	28.4	< 1.0	5.5	1.0
Tribromoacetic acid	< 4.0	38.4	1.9	0	1.0
Other					
Sodium chlorate	< 10	170	< 10	< 10	10
Sodium bromate	< 50.0	< 50.0	< 50.0	< 50.0	50.0
Monochloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
Dichloroacetonitrile	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.5
Monobromoacetonitrile	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
Dibromoacetonitrile	<0.5	15	< 0.5	7.4	0.5

BW = backwash

RDL = reporting detection limit

MRL = method reporting limit

6.4. Trial 4 (SIE-07-10): 27 May – 1 June 2010

Presented as means and standard deviations.

Physical Parameters

	TSS mg/l	DOC mg/l	POC mg/l
T0 Ambient	12.7 (0.4)	3.16 (0.02)	1.29 (0.04)
T0 Enhanced	57.9 (1.7)	NA	5.36 (0.19)

Enhanced data is the average of 3 time points (2 reps per time point) during the fill time of the ballast tanks. Ambient water is sampled at the beginning of the trial and before the water is enhanced.

	Temp °C	Salinity psu	Dis. Oxygen mg/l	Turbidity NTU	рН
T-0 Control	17.40 (0.03)	8.81 (0.03)	7.09 (0.10)	15.84 (1.11)	6.9 (0.1)
T-0 Treated	17.48 (0.02)	9.42 (0.00)	5.47 (0.04)	16.09 (1.21)	7.0 (0.1)
T-F Control	19.53 (0.01)	8.87 (0.00)	5.18 (0.10)	2.22 (0.08)	7.2 (0.1)
T-F Treated	19.69 (0.01)	9.48 (0.00)	5.57 (0.02)	6.07 (0.13)	7.2 (0.1)

<u>Live Organisms > 50 µm</u>

	T0 #/m ³	TF $\#/m^3$
Control	113,333 (14,154)	63,500 (9,750)
Treated	13 (12)	1.8 (0.8)

*TF Control	*TF Treated
Calanoida (Eurytemora sp.)	Copepoda
Copepod nauplii	Bivalve larva
Polychaeta (Spionidae)	
Bivalve larvae	

* Up to four top taxa listed in order of abundance.

Live Organisms 10 - 50 µm

Vital Stain Results - It is important to note that the specific approach used underestimates the abundances of live organisms in this size class because it has been found that not all live organisms reliably take up these stains.

	T0 #/ml	TF #/ml
Control	1,544 (103)	1,026 (133)
Treatment	1 (1)	1.2 (0.8)

Dominant species	Туре	Other
Prorocentrum minimum	Dinoflagellate	
Heterocapsa rotundatum	Dinoflagellate	
Gymnodinium estuarale	Dinoflagellate	first time detected in 2010
Thalassiosira sp.	Diatom	chain-forming
Navicula sp.	Diatom	

Active Chlorophyll-a - Chlorophyll is used as ancillary data and as a general presence/absence indicator of viable photosynthetic organisms.

	T0 μg/l	TF μg/l	RG µg/l
Control	6.42 (0.78)	1.08 (0.04)	1.41 (0.47)
Treated	0.05 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	0.00 (0.00)

RG = after re-growth assay

 $MDL = 0.56 \ \mu g/l$

Live Microbes

cfu = colony forming units

E. coli	T0 cfu/100ml	TF cfu/100ml
Control	2.2 (2.49)	0 (0)
Treated	0 (0)	0 (0)

Enterococci	T0 MPN/100ml	TF MPN/100ml
Control	10 (4)	11.62 (3.75)
Treated	2.46 (2.16)	57.96 (30.54)

MPN = most probably number estimate determined by the Idexx Enterolert kit.

V. cholerae – No detectable culturable toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* were detected in any samples during any of the trials.

Heterotrophic		
Bacteria	T0 cfu/1ml	TF cfu/1ml
Control	470 (176)	190 (63.07)
Treated	1.4 (1.43)	7,630 (4,181)

Toxicity Summaries

Residual Chlorine Analysis - Levels of residual chlorine (or total residual oxidants - TRO) were below the permissible discharge limit of 0.10 ppm in all TF treated samples. Values ranged from 0.06 ppm to below the method detection limit (MDL) of 0.02 ppm.

Chronic Toxicity - Results showed that ballast water discharge was not chronically toxic to any of the three tested species.

Disinfection By-Products (µg/l)

	Control	Treated	BW	Treated	RDL/MRL
	T0	T0	TO	TF	
Trihalomethanes	0	98.2	0	281.2	0.5
Trichloromethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.5	1.6	< 0.5	2.6	0.5
Dibromochloromethane	< 0.5	14.9	< 0.5	25.6	0.5
Tribromomethane	< 0.5	81.7	< 0.5	253	0.5
Haloacetic Acids	0	56.7	0	117.6	1.0
Monochloroacetic acid	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	2.0
Dichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Trichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Bromochloroacetic acid	< 1.0	4.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Monobromoacetic acid	< 1.0	3.4	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Dibromoacetic acid	< 1.0	49.2	< 1.0	10.6	1.0
Tribromoacetic acid	< 4.0	0	< 4.0	107	1.0
Other					
Sodium chlorate	< 10	180	< 10	240/200	10
Sodium bromate	< 50.0	< 50.0	< 50.0	< 50.0	50.0
Monochloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	
Dichloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	0.5
Monobromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
Dibromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	26	< 0.5	12	0.5

BW = backwash

RDL = reporting detection limit MRL = method reporting limit

6.5. Trial 5 (SIE-08-10): 3-8 June 2010

Presented as means and standard deviations.

Physical Parameters

	TSS mg/l	DOC mg/l	POC mg/l
T0 Ambient	17.1 (5.1)	3.76 (0.11)	1.56 (0.18)
T0 Enhanced	55.0 (5.6)	NA	5.39 (0.52)

Enhanced data is the average of 3 time points (2 reps per time point) during the fill time of the ballast tanks. Ambient water is sampled at the beginning of the trial and before the water is enhanced.

	Temp °C	Salinity psu	Dis. Oxygen mg/l	Turbidity NTU	pН
T-0 Control	20.48 (0.02)	7.41 (0.00)	4.82 (0.15)	15.96 (1.13)	7.1 (0.1)
T-0 Treated	20.52 (0.01)	6.99 (0.01)	7.89 (0.01)	16.05 (1.14)	7.1 (0.1)
T-F Control	20.13 (0.02)	7.50 (0.00)	3.13 (0.04)	1.32 (0.07)	7.0 (0.1)
T-F Treated	20.27 (0.03)	6.34 (0.01)	7.94 (0.00)	7.22 (0.18)	7.0 (0.1)

<u>Live Organisms > 50 µm</u>

	T0 #/m ³	TF $\#/m^3$
Control	175,000 (11,000)	94,500 (8,250)
Treated	221 (59)	8 (3)

*TF Control	*TF Treated
Copepod nauplii	Copepoda
Bivalve larvae	Polychaeta (Spionidae)
Spionidae	
Calanoida (Eurytemora sp.)	

* Up to four top taxa listed in order of abundance.

Live Organisms 10 - 50 µm

Vital Stain Results - It is important to note that the specific approach used underestimates the abundances of live organisms in this size class because it has been found that not all live organisms reliably take up these stains.

	T0 #/ml	TF #/ml
Control	1,511 (271)	757 (145)
Treatment	1.7 (1.2)	2.2 (1.5)

Dominant species	Туре	Other
Prorocentrum minimum	Dinoflagellate	
Thalassiosira sp.	Diatom	chain-forming
Gyrodinium estuarale	Dinoflagellate	
Navicula sp.	Diatom	
Amphora sp.	Diatom	

Active Chlorophyll-a - Chlorophyll is used as ancillary data and as a general presence/absence indicator of viable photosynthetic organisms.

	T0 μg/l	TF μg/l	RG µg/l
Control	3.42 (0.12)	0.44 (0.14)	0.97 (0.36)
Treated	0.04 (0.01)	0.01 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)

RG = after re-growth assay $MDL = 0.56 \mu g/l$

Live Microbes

cfu = colony forming units

E. coli	T0 cfu/100ml	TF cfu/100ml	
Control	13.4 (5.5)	0 (0)	
Treated	0 (0)	0 (0)	

Enterococci	T0 MPN/100ml	TF MPN/100ml
Control	113.94 (38.9)	2.42 (0.58)
Treated	1.02 (1.45)	1 (1)

MPN = most probably number estimate determined by the Idexx Enterolert kit.

V. cholerae – No detectable culturable toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* were detected in any samples during any of the trials.

Heterotrophic		
Bacteria	T0 cfu/1ml	TF cfu/1ml
Control	577 (149)	466 (671)
Treated	2.3 (2.5)	3,950 (884)

Toxicity Summaries

Residual Chlorine Analysis - Levels of residual chlorine (or total residual oxidants - TRO) were below the permissible discharge limit of 0.10 ppm in all TF treated samples. Values ranged from 0.06 ppm to below the method detection limit (MDL) of 0.02 ppm.

Chronic Toxicity - Results showed that ballast water discharge was not chronically toxic to either mysids or sheepshead minnows.

All samples, however, were chronically toxic to the marine algal species *Isochrysis* galbana with a statistically significant inhibition in growth in treated ballast discharge compared to controls.

	Control	Treated	BW	Treated	RDL/MRL
	T0	T0	T0	TF	
Trihalomethanes	0	241.4	0	89.6	0.5
Trichloromethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.5	2.6	< 0.5	3.3	0.5
Dibromochloromethane	< 0.5	119.8	< 0.5	24.5	0.5
Tribromomethane	< 0.5	119	< 0.5	61.8	0.5
Haloacetic Acids	0	143.8	0	95.6	1.0
Monochloroacetic acid	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	2.0
Dichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Trichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Bromochloroacetic acid	< 1.0	3.5	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Monobromoacetic acid	< 1.0	3.2	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Dibromoacetic acid	< 1.0	37.1	< 1.0	8.9	1.0
Tribromoacetic acid	< 4.0	100	< 4.0	86.7	1.0
Other					
Sodium chlorate	< 10	295/240	< 10	< 10/297	10
Sodium bromate	< 50.0	< 50.0	< 50.0	< 50.0	50.0
Monochloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	
Dichloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5
Monobromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
Dibromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	24	< 0.5	8.5	0.5

Disinfection By-Products (µg/l)

BW = backwash

RDL = reporting detection limit

MRL = method reporting limit

6.6. Twenty four-hour Dechlorination Trial: 9-10 June 2010

Presented as means and standard deviations.

Physical Parameters

	TSS mg/l	DOC mg/l	POC mg/l
T0 Ambient	33.4 (9.6)	3.11 (0.12)	2.06 (0.09)
T0 Enhanced	NA	NA	NA

Enhanced data is the average of 3 time points (2 reps per time point) during the fill time of the ballast tanks. Ambient water is sampled at the beginning of the trial and before the water is enhanced.

	Temp °C	Salinity psu	Dis. Oxygen mg/l	Turbidity NTU	pН
T-0 Control	21.03 (0.08)	8.39 (0.02)	6.38 (0.22)	3.22 (0.12)	7.5 (0.1)
T-0 Treated	21.15 (0.04)	9.01 (0.01)	7.35 (0.02)	7.53 (0.20)	7.0 (0.1)
T-F Control	20.51 (0.04)	8.41 (0.00)	5.76 (0.03)	2.96 (0.14)	7.0 (0.1)
T-F Treated	20.74 (0.03)	9.04 (0.00)	7.32 (0.01)	7.29 (0.10)	7.0 (0.1)

<u>Live Organisms > 50 µm</u>

	T0 Avg(sd) #/m ³	TF Avg(sd) $\#/m^3$
Control	160,500 (9,750)	112,500 (5,000)
Treated	17 (7)	1.2 (2)

* Up to four top taxa listed in order of abundance.

*TF Control	*TF Treated
Copepod nauplii	Copepoda
Bivalve larvae	Polychaeta (Spionidae)
Spionidae	
Calanoida (Eurytemora sp.)	

Live Organisms 10 - 50 µm

Vital Stain Results - It is important to note that the specific approach used underestimates the abundances of live organisms in this size class because it has been found that not all live organisms reliably take up these stains.

	T0 #/ml	TF #/ml
Control	4,593 (823)	3,355 (149)
Treatment	0 (0)	0 (0)

Dominant species	Туре	Other
Prorocentrum minimum	Dinoflagellate	
Thalassiosira sp.	Diatom	chain-forming
Gyrodinium estuarale	Dinoflagellate	
Cyclotella glomerata	Diatom	chain-forming
Skeletonema costatum	Diatom	chain-forming
Heterocapsa triquerta	Dinoflagellate	
Navicula sp.	Diatom	
Nitzschia sp.	Diatom	

Active Chlorophyll-a - Chlorophyll is used as ancillary data and as a general presence/absence indicator of viable photosynthetic organisms.

	T0 μg/l	TF μg/l	RG µg/l
Control	12.33 (0.77)	9.66 (0.16)	NA
Treated	0.04 (0.01)	0.01 (0.00)	NA
			•

RG = after re-growth assayMDL = 0.56 µg/l

Live Microbes

cfu = colony forming units

E. coli	T0 cfu/100ml	TF cfu/100ml
Control	10.33 (9.29)	2.67 (2.52)
Treated	0 (0)	0 (0)
Enterococci	T0 MPN/100ml	TF MPN/100ml
Control	5.13 (2.05)	8.9 (2.88)
Treated	0.33 (0.58)	0.33 (0.58)

MPN = most probably number estimate determined by the Idexx Enterolert kit.

V. cholerae – No detectable culturable toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* were detected in any samples during any of the trials.

Heterotrophic		
Bacteria	T0 cfu/1ml	TF cfu/1ml
Control	2,180 (383)	631.67 (218.68)
Treated	3.5 (6.06)	0 (0)

Toxicity Summaries

Residual Chlorine Analysis – On June 9-10, 2010, MERC assisted Siemens with the testing of their dechlorination system. A 24-hour trial resulted in successful dechlorination of the treated tank using sodium bisulfate (a decline in total chlorine levels from 0.59 ppm to below 0.1 ppm – the EPA discharge standard). Note that using a sodium thiosulfate treatment resulted in a final total chlorine level of 0.22 ppm.

Chronic Toxicity – Ballast water was tested after treatment with a higher concentration of chlorine followed by an in-line de-chlorination. Ballast water was collect in the same manner and location as previous tests. All three species (mysid, fish and algae) were tested for toxicity. There was no statistically significant toxicological effect seen in any of these three test species.

Disinfection By-Products (µg/l)

	Control	Treated	BW	Treated	RDL/M RL
	T0	T0	T0	TF	
Trihalomethanes	0	88.4	0	238.9	0.5
Trichloromethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.5	1.4	< 0.5	2.1	0.5
Dibromochloromethane	< 0.5	15	< 0.5	22.8	0.5
Tribromomethane	< 0.5	72	< 0.5	214	0.5
Haloacetic Acids	0	118.7	0	193.3	1.0
Monochloroacetic acid	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	2.0
Dichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Trichloroacetic acid	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0
Bromochloroacetic acid	< 1.0	2.5	< 1.0	3.1	1.0
Monobromoacetic acid	< 1.0	2.5	< 1.0	3.8	1.0
Dibromoacetic acid	< 1.0	25	< 1.0	39.4	1.0
Tribromoacetic acid	< 4.0	88.7	< 4.0	147	1.0
Other					
Sodium chlorate	< 10	276/340	< 10/390	233/330	10
Sodium bromate	< 50.0	< 50.0	< 50.0	< 50.0	50.0
Monochloroacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
Dichloroacetonitrile	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5
Monobromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
Dibromoacetonitrile	< 0.5	28	< 0.5	29	0.5

BW = backwash RDL = reporting detection limit MRL = method reporting limit

7.0 Acknowledgments

The MERC Testing Team included J. Barnes, M. Carroll, D. Fisher, B. Haley, A. Huq, R. Kanzleiter, T. Mullady, G. Ruiz, G. Smith, D. Sparks, M. Tamburri. E. Taviani, M. Wilkinson, L. Yonkos, and G. Ziegler. Test protocols were developed in conjunction with A. Cangelosi, the Great Ships Initiative, Bundesamt fuer Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH) and M. Veldhuis. We wish thank the crew of the *MV Cape Washington* who provided critical input and support on all aspects of these trials, and the Maryland Port Administration and U.S. Maritime Administration for funding and supporting this ballast water treatment evaluation.

16 September 2010 Date

Mano Tant

Approved By: Dr. Mario Tamburri MERC Executive Director

Ross A Kongleiter

Approved By: Ross Kanzleiter MERC Program Coordinator and Chief Engineer

16 September 2010 Date



Industry

Industry Solutions Water Technologies

Siemens Water Technologies, 2 Milltown Court, Union, NJ 07083	Name Department	Lars Nupnau I IS WT
Maritime Environmental Resource Center Dr. Mario N. Tamburri	Telephone Fax	+1 908 851 6935
Chesapeake Biological Laboratory	Mobile E-mail	+1 908 209 6384 lars.nupnau@siemens.com
University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science P.O. Box 38	Your letter of Our reference	
Solomons, MD 20688-0038 U.S.A.	Date	September 30, 2010

SiCURE™ BWMS: Testing at MERC test site in spring 2010

Dear Mario,

Siemens Water Technologies would like to thank you and the whole MERC Testing Team for the effort involved in testing the SiCURE Ballast Water Management System on board of *MV Cape Washington*.

During the tests at MERC, as before at the test site of GSI in the Great Lakes, the combination of filtration and electrochlorination controlled by ORP proved the system's ability to effectively eliminate potential aquatic invasive species as specified by the IMO requirements.

This enables Siemens to offer the SiCURE system to meet the needs of the maritime industry.

Best regards,

A. N. a, m

Lars Nupnau Product Manager Ballast Water Treatment

Siemens AG Industry Sector Industry Solutions Division Water Technologies Office address: 2 Milltown Court Uniion, NJ 07083 U.S.A.

Siemens Aktiengesellschaft: Chairman of the Supervisory Board: Gerhard Cromme Managing Board: Peter Loescher, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer; Wolfgang Dehen, Heinrich Hiesinger, Joe Kaeser, Barbara Kux, Hermann Requardt, Siegfried Russwurm, Peter Y. Solmssen Registered offices: Berlin and Munich; Commercial registries: Berlin Charlottenburg, HRB 12300, Munich, HRB 6684 WEEE-Reg.-No. DE 23691322